

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,858	08/02/2001	Shenshen Wu	B01-25	7812
7:	590 04/16/2003			
Troy R. Lester Acushnet Company 333 Bridge Street			EXAMINER GORR, RACHEL F	
	1711 DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Examiner Rachel F. Gorr The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh et with th correspondence address Period for Reply Application No. Application No. Applicant(s) WU ET AL. Fxaminer Rachel F. Gorr 1711 The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh et with th correspondence address Period for Reply						
Office Action Summary Examiner Rachel F. Gorr The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh et with th correspondence address						
Rachel F. Gorr 1711 The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh et with th correspondence address						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.3 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/921,858

Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The only structures of claim 13 for which CAS registry numbers could be found were for ortho phenylene or cyclohexyl compounds with n and m equal to zero. It would seem that the other compounds don't exist. The applicants don't provide any information on how to obtain or make these compounds.

- 2. Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. This claim is broader in scope than the preceding claim because the preceding claim doesn't list any diamines having the same structure.
- 3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This claim is confusing because the preceding claim doesn't have an antecedent basis for "diol curing agent".

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jepson.

Application/Control Number: 09/921,858

Art Unit: 1711

Jepson discloses polyurethane golf club inserts made from a prepolymer of a polyol, toluene diisocyanate and a curing agent. In example 1, the prepolymer is made from polytetramethylene ether polyol, and in example 7, he cures with chloro diaminodiphenyl methane (MOCA).

6. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by LaCoste.

LaCoste discloses a built in insert striking surface made from a prepolymer of toluene diisocyanate and a polyol cured with a curing agent. In claim 7, he discloses the polyol as polytetramethylene ether glycol and the curing agent as MOCA. In Example 5, he discloses hydroquinone dihydroxyethyl ether as the curing agent.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jepson in view of Yang and Woods.
- 9. Jepson discloses the invention of the claims (see above rejection). He differs from these claims by not disclosing phenylene disocyanate or dimethylthio toluene diamine as a curing agent, and he doesn't specifically disclose using a filler.
- 10. Yang shows that polyurethane made by curing prepolymers can be made from phenylene diisocyanate as well as toluene diisocyanate (col. 3, lines 43-44), and

Application/Control Number: 09/921,858 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

he teaches that dimethylthio toluene diamine can be used to cure the prepolymer as well as MOCA.

11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use filler in the compositions of Jepson because Woods teaches that fillers are well known for improving the properties of polyurethanes. It would have been obvious to use phenylene diisocyanate and dimethylthio toluene diamine because Yang teaches that these can be used equivalently to those diisocyanates and diamines used by Jepson.

- 12. Claim 10 is objected to for depending on rejected claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 703-308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER